Case Report

Total Ankle Replacement Conversion to Tibiotalocalcaneal Arthrodesis With Bulk Femoral Head Allograft and Pseudoelastic Intramedullary Nail Providing Sustained Joint Compression

Mark Jay Conklin, MD ¹, Kathryn Elizabeth Smith, PhD ², Jeremy Webster Blair, MBA ², and Kenneth Michael Dupont, PhD ²

Abstract

Tibiotalocalcaneal (TTC) arthrodesis is commonly performed to salvage a failed total ankle replacement. These salvage procedures are complicated by significant bone loss from the ankle replacement and are associated with low patient satisfaction. Here, we describe 2 cases of patients who presented with a failed total ankle replacement and underwent arthrodesis using a bulk femoral head allograft and a novel pseudoelastic intramedullary nail. The intramedullary nail contains an internal pseudoelastic element that adapts to bone resorption and settling allowing for compression to be maintained at the arthrodesis sites throughout healing. In the first case, a 65-year-old woman with a failed total ankle replacement underwent TTC arthrodesis. The second case involved an obese 53-year-old woman who had previously undergone 2 total ankle replacement procedures that resulted in unsuccessful outcomes. In both cases, union was demonstrated on computed tomographic scan by 6 months. At 2 years postsurgery, both patients were satisfied with the procedure. These cases provide preliminary evidence that tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis with a pseudoelastic IM nail and structural allograft is an appropriate treatment for failed total ankle replacements.

Level of Evidence: Level IV, therapeutic, case series.

Keywords: tibiotalocalcaneal arthrodesis, intramedullary nail, sustained compression, bulk structural graft, total ankle replacement conversion, NiTiNOL

Introduction

Total ankle replacements (TARs) are increasingly being performed to treat patients with severe ankle arthritis. ⁴¹ Though the latest generation TAR devices demonstrate improved short- to midterm outcomes compared with previous generation designs, failure rates are still reported around 10% to 20%. ¹⁰,¹³,¹⁴,¹⁷,³¹ with their long-term success still unknown. Complications such as infection, mechanical failure, aseptic loosening, and implant migration are also frequent with occurrence reported up to 50%. ¹⁰,¹¹,¹⁶,¹⁸ Revising a failed TAR is a complex procedure often requiring a surgeon to address severe bone loss, weakened soft tissues, and significant hindfoot pathology. ³⁸ Under these conditions, a new TAR prosthesis is not always a viable revision option, and the TAR frequently must be converted to a salvage tibiotalocalcaneal (TTC) arthrodesis ¹¹,²⁵ or amputation.

A successful arthrodesis is dependent on having sufficient bone and healing capacity to facilitate fusion with either an external frame, an internal plate, or an intramedullary (IM) nail applied to rigidly fixate and stabilize the joints. IM nails are advantageous in that they have increased patient tolerance and fewer complications compared to external frames. ²²,²³,⁴³ Because of implant subsidence and extensive bone loss associated with TAR failures, the talus is often
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removed in the salvage procedure, and the large bony void filled with a bulk femoral head allograft. Achieving fusion with these structural allografts can be challenging because of the graft’s inherent poor healing capacity, with fusion rates reported as low as 50% when a femoral head allograft was used with an IM nail.\textsuperscript{5,24} Compression has been shown to be important not only in stabilizing the arthrodesis sites but also in promoting bone healing and allowing for load sharing between implanted devices and native osseous tissue to prevent fatigue fracture of hardware.\textsuperscript{2,40}

Although most IM nails are designed to generate arthrodesis site compression at the time of surgery,\textsuperscript{35,43} this initial compression can be significantly reduced after surgery because of joint settling and bone resorption. In particular, studies using a synthetic bone model reported that 1 mm of simulated resorption can lead to a 90% or greater reduction in compressive load applied by an IM nail, whereas 1.4 mm of simulated resorption leads to a 98% or greater loss of compression for all IM nails tested.\textsuperscript{21,43} An additional study reported that patients treated with second-generation IM nails incorporating an internal compression mechanism experienced faster times to fusion and higher fusion rates than patients treated with first-generation IM nails lacking an internal compression feature.\textsuperscript{40} However, when controlling for diabetic patients, these differences became insignificant, potentially a result of the second-generation IM nail not being able to maintain compression in response to bone resorption or settling. As such, evolving IM nail designs should focus on sustained compression as this could serve as a means to reduce the nonunion rate and number of complications with TTC arthrodeses, particularly when performed to convert failed TARs.\textsuperscript{40}

Here we present 2 cases of patients who presented with failed TARs and underwent conversion to TTC arthrodesis using a bulk femoral head allograft and a novel pseudoelastic IM nail. The pseudoelastic nail contains an internal compressive element made out of nickel titanium (NiTiNOL), which is stretched during surgery, and has the capacity to maintain postoperative compression for up to 6 mm of bone resorption and/or joint settling.\textsuperscript{21,27,30,32,43} This resorption or settling can be quantitatively tracked on radiographs by visualizing changes in the calcaneal screw positions relative to the nail outer body.\textsuperscript{27,32} As the NiTiNOL compressive element contracts to its original unstretched length, the calcaneal screws shift proximally within the nail’s outer body. The recovery of the pseudoelastic element can be quantified from the lateral radiograph by measuring the position of the distal screws in the slot in the body of the nail. Both patients provided written permission for publication of this data.

**Case Report 1**

A 65-year-old woman presented with a failed total ankle arthroplasty with painful hardware (Agility; DePuy), which displayed loosening on computed tomography (CT) images. The patient initially underwent ankle replacement 7 years prior to the subject surgery due to arthritis. She then required revision ankle replacement as a result of constant pain, leading to implantation of the Agility hardware 2 years prior to the subject surgery. An anterior incision was created followed by ankle arthrotomy where an extensive debridement and synovectomy were performed. Tibial and talar excisions were performed and the ankle Agility LP implants were removed. Screws were removed through multiple incisions. A curette and burr were used to expose part of the subtalar joint. A thawed fresh-frozen femoral head allograft was then shaped to fit the bone void. Then bone marrow aspirate was harvested and the femoral head allograft was soaked in the bone marrow. The allograft was then placed into the ankle joint along with human cellular matrix containing mesenchymal stem cells, neonatal fibroblasts, growth factors, and extracellular matrix\textsuperscript{12} (Ovation; Osiris Therapeutics, Columbia, MD). Next, a 10×220-mm pseudoelastic IM nail (DynaNail; MedShape, Inc, Atlanta, GA) was placed according to the manufacturer’s recommended operative technique. The NiTiNOL compressive element was stretched 6 mm before fixing with screws. Next a proximal tibial bone graft was performed and further bone graft was placed into the sinus tarsi area of the subtalar joint and into the talar neck area where further Ovation was added. At this point, the talar neck was fixed to the allograft using a 5-mm fully threaded cannulated screw. All wounds were irrigated well, followed by closure. The patient was placed in a short-leg non-weight bearing splint.

A lateral radiograph taken 4 days postsurgery demonstrated that the NiTiNOL compressive element had unloaded a total of 2.7 mm in response to bone resorption and settling while still maintaining compression (Figure 1). Two weeks postsurgery, the patient’s wounds had healed. She was no longer experiencing pain and displayed minimal swelling. Six weeks following surgery, the patient was transitioned to a weight-bearing cast. Radiographs (Figure 2) revealed that the compressive element had unloaded an additional 0.1 mm for a total of 3.6 mm. At 9 weeks postoperation, the patient was transitioned to a boot that she would wear for the following 4 weeks. A computed tomographic scan (Figure 3) taken at 6 months following surgery showed 100% fusion of both the tibiotalar and subtalar joints. The patient returned for follow-up 15 months following surgery, at which time she was hiking without pain, and she was experiencing no tenderness. The patient stated that her subject leg felt slightly shorter than the contralateral leg, and she wore a slight sole insert at times to account for the discrepancy. Radiographs taken at that time (Figure 4) showed continued ankle and subtalar fusion. A summary of the element unloading with time is shown in Figure 5 for both patients. Twenty-four months following the subject surgery, the patient completed a Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM) activities of daily living (ADL) survey.\textsuperscript{33} She indicated that she had either slight or no difficulty with most tasks and activities (15/21), with a FAAM ADL score of 65, representing 77%
of the total possible score. This score includes a zero value for the patient being unable to come up on her toes because of her fusion. She summarized her condition as “doing great,” adding that her “quality of life is restored to what it used to be before [her] first ankle replacement... but without the pain of a very arthritic ankle.”

Case Report 2

A 53-year-old obese woman, with a BMI of 34, had a failed right ankle replacement (Agility; DePuy) causing pain 10 years following initial placement. A revision ankle replacement was performed including initial hardware removal, placement of hardware components (INBONE; Wright Medical), right subtalar fusion with cannulated screw, and...
Achilles tendon lengthening. Fourteen months later, the replacement revision had failed with the patient experiencing pain and nonunion of the subtalar joint, necessitating additional operative treatment. An anterior incision was made and hardware including cannulated screw, talar dome, and tibial tray was removed. Joint surfaces were decorticated, autograft bone was excised from the proximal lateral tibia, and right iliac crest bone marrow aspirate was collected. Next, a femoral head allograft was fashioned, soaked in bone marrow aspirate, and placed into the fusion site to hold the patient out to length. A 10 × 220-mm pseudoelastic nail (DynaNail) was then implanted according to the manufacturer’s recommend operative technique with the NiTi-NOL compressive element stretched 6 mm prior to nail fixation with transverse screws. A small rhBMP-2-soaked absorbable collagen sponge (InFuse; Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) was placed posteriorly, bridging between the tibia and talus along with bone and marrow reamings from the tibial IM canal, and then an additional small rhBMP-2-soaked absorbable collagen sponge (InFuse) was placed anteriorly along with additional reamings and bone marrow aspirate. At this point, the talar neck was stable against the femoral head, and an extra cannulated screw was not necessary to compress them together. The patient was placed in a non-weight bearing splint.

Radiographs taken 4 days postsurgery demonstrated that the position of the P-A screw had shifted proximally in the outer nail body slot corresponding to the compressive element unloading a total of 5 mm (Figure 6). Two weeks following surgery, the wound was well healed. Radiographs taken 6 weeks following surgery indicated that the compressive element had unloaded an additional 0.4 mm (Figure 7), with no evidence of hardware loosening or failure. The patient was transitioned to a weight-bearing cast. By 9 weeks postsurgery the patient was no longer experiencing pain or swelling and was transitioned to a boot for the next 6 weeks. A CT scan obtained 14 weeks postsurgery revealed 70% osseous fusion of the total surface area available at both the subtalar joint and ankle joint. Seven months following surgery, CT imaging (Figure 8) indicated 100% osseous fusion across both the subtalar and ankle joints. Fifteen months after surgery, the patient reported that she was doing well, and radiographs demonstrated that the element had unloaded a total of 5.9 mm (Figure 9). The patient stated that she feels her subject leg feels slightly shorter than the contralateral

Figure 6. Case 2: Radiographs displaying lateral (A) and anteroposterior (B) views obtained 4 days following DynaNail and bulk femoral head allograft implantation.

Figure 7. Case 2: Radiographs displaying lateral (A) and anteroposterior (B) views obtained 6 weeks following DynaNail and bulk femoral head allograft implantation.

Figure 8. Case 2: Computed tomographic images displaying lateral (A) and anteroposterior (B) views obtained 7 months following DynaNail and bulk femoral head allograft implantation.
leg, and she wears a thin lift under her orthotic to account for the discrepancy. Twenty-three months after surgery, the patient completed a FAAM activities of daily living survey. She indicated that she had either slight or no difficulty with most tasks and activities (17/21), with a FAAM ADL score of 67, representing 80% of the total possible score. This score includes a zero value for the patient being unable to come up on her toes due to her fusion. She self-assessed her current level of function during daily activities at 85% of the level prior to the initiation of her foot and ankle problems.

Discussion

The operative management of failed TARs via conversion to TTC arthrodesis is a challenging procedure with multiple reports of low patient satisfaction and function compared with primary TTC fusion. This study presents 2 reports of patients who underwent conversion to TTC arthrodesis for a failed TAR using a bulk femoral head allograft to fill the void of the missing talus in combination with a pseudoelastic IM nail. Both patients achieved fusion across the ankle and subtalar joints at the 6-month follow-up visit with no reported complications.

The pseudoelastic IM nail contains an internal NiTiNOL element that is stretched during surgery like a spring and unloads postsurgery in response to resorption or joint settling. This unloading allows the nail to dynamically adapt to changes in the healing environment and local osseous architecture, and consequently maintain compression across the joints. As bone resorption is a biological process that occurs over time, involving the activity of a cascade of events including the actual resorption via activity of the osteoclasts, it would be unlikely that the 5 mm of element travel observed in patient 2 4 days postsurgery was fully due to resorption. This travel could have been caused by joint settling, which could occur due to any potential shift between appositional surfaces. Additionally, the femoral head graft could have partially collapsed under loads encountered during that 5-day process, such as those due to shifting of the limb leading to gravity-induced loads and muscle contractions, the constant compression generated by the compressive element, or any potential early weight-bearing by the patient done against clinician direction.

The use of a TTC arthrodesis IM nail to convert a failed TAR has been previously described with generally modest outcomes reported. Fusion times range from 3 to 12 months, with complication rates varying from 13% to 78%. Nonunion is the most noted complication, with 5 studies reporting at least 20% of patients experiencing nonunion. In particular, one study partially attributed the nonunions to the significant bone loss associated with failed TARs and suggest the importance of holding compression to achieve fusion. Other reported complications with IM nails include deep infection, pain at nail insertion site, and proximal tibial stress fractures. Additionally, potential IM nail technical issues include difficulty keeping out of varus using a straight nail and the potential for limited distal fixation because of the nail’s fairly medial position in the calcaneus.

Though the fusion and patient satisfaction rates compare similarly to external fixation, IM nails offer advantages such as a simpler technique and shorter rehabilitation times. Plate fixation is another option, as plates have been reported to be biomechanically stable, especially in osteopenic bone. However, IM nails are the most attractive fixation choice for use in many patients because of less dissection and potential wound healing issues than plates. Additionally, IM nail dynamization allows for load sharing and avoidance of stress shielding.

Because of the significant bone loss associated with failed TARs because of talar resection and secondary osteolysis, bulk structural allografts are used to reconstruct the talus and restore limb length. However, these graft materials introduce new challenges with achieving fusion given their poor healing capacity and propensity to resorb over time. When resorption occurs, compression is lost and the bones are no longer in direct apposition, potentially compromising the healing process. Therefore, the maintenance of compression is critical in ensuring bone healing with femoral allografts. The authors were only able to identify a small number of studies where femoral allografts were used with an IM nail to convert TARs. In 2 separate case series, the operative technique and reported symptomatic relief are described for 3 patients in each study. In 2 larger retrospective studies, reported nonunion rates were approximately 50%. The authors highlighted the importance of compression being applied across the entire joint surfaces.

In the 2 cases presented here where bulk femoral allografts were used with a pseudoelastic nail, both patients went on to fusion of the TTC joints, with no reported pain and minimal
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could limit the risk of hardware failure and potentially allow pseudoelastic nail’s sustained compression and immediate particular the cross screws within the nail. In contrast, the bone, leading to fatigue failure of implanted hardware, in graphs to limit patient positional variance, and one assessor tilt. However, all assessments were made using lateral radiographic images. Additionally, variations in patient positioning can affect the observed lengths in images, such as out-of-plane tilts. Moreover, both nails were still maintaining compression at the time of fusion as the compressive elements remained stretched and no gapping was visualized, as shown on the radiographs.

The principal limitation of this study is that it is a case study of only 2 patients with relatively limited follow-up. A secondary limitation is the parallel use of orthobiologics alongside the pseudoelastic nail in both patients, such that it is impossible to directly ascertain the contributions of each to the fusion process. However, one study reported a fusion rate of 48% in a series of 25 patients that underwent retrograde IM nail treatment with femoral head allograft for bulk defects. This union rate was reported despite parallel orthobiologics use in 92% of patients and parallel bone stimulation use in 76% of patients, suggesting that differing outcomes obtained could be associated with type of IM nail used. A tertiary limitation of the study is the potential for inaccuracy in the quantification of compressive element travel from radiographic images, which in this study was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm. Accurate quantification of element contraction is highly dependent on the quality and resolution of the source images. Additionally, variations in patient positioning can affect the observed lengths in images, such as out-of-plane tilt. However, all assessments were made using lateral radiographs to limit patient positional variance, and one assessor performed compressive element contraction quantification to limit any observer variance.

A strength of this report is that quantified imaging, both on radiographs and on CT, was employed to evaluate both the extent of osseous fusion and arthrodesis site resorption and settling with time. The ability to monitor the amount of resorption and settling is unique to this IM nail and could potentially allow opportunities to increase knowledge of the healing process that occurs after TTC arthrodesis, particularly when performed with bulk structural allografts where resorption is common.

In conclusion, these 2 cases provide preliminary evidence that the use of a novel pseudoelastic hindfoot fusion nail combined with a bulk femoral head allograft can achieve hindfoot arthrodesis in salvage procedures for patients who previously experienced failed total ankle replacement. Future work in the form of larger retrospective or prospective studies will allow for statistical quantitative comparisons between patient outcomes following treatment with bulk graft plus pseudoelastic nail and bulk graft plus alternate IM nail.
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